

NATIONAL JUDICIAL ACADEMY

P-1042: Conference for Registrars dealing with Court Procedures and Process

Re-engineering

08th – 10th September, 2017

Programme Coordinator : Mr. Shivaraj S. Huchhanavar, Research Fellow, NJA, Bhopal

No. of Participants : 30

No. of forms received : 29

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	96.55	3.45	-	-
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	86.21	13.79	-	-
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	93.10	6.90	-	-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	89.66	10.34	-	-
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	96.55	3.45	-	-
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	75.86	21.14	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	70.83	29.17	-	-
c. Up to date	84.00	16.00	-	-

d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	56.00	40.00	4.00	-
e. Related to International Legal Norms	35.00	50.00	15.00	-

III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM

PROPOSITIONS	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	79.31	20.69	-	-
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Group discussions	100.00	-	-	-
(ii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	96.43	3.57	-	-
(iii) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	60.00	40.00	-	-

(To be modified as per the sessions planned)

IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING

Parameters

Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and useful	satisfactory
1	79.31	20.69	95.00	5.00
2	76.92	23.08	84.21	15.79
3	80.77	19.23	94.74	5.26
4	80.77	19.23	94.74	5.26
5	88.77	19.23	89.47	10.53
6	72.00	28.00	94.74	5.26
7	81.82	18.18	93.75	6.25
8	90.91	9.09	87.50	12.50
9	90.91	9.09	93.75	6.25

V. PROGRAM MATERIALS

PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
---------------------	--------------------------	-----------------------	-------------------	----------------

a. The Program material is useful and relevant	84.62	15.38	-	-
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	60.87	39.13	-	-
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	85.19	14.81	-	-

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS

a. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1. 1. How the Patna High Court is dealing with the matter of adjournments; 2. How some of the High Courts are trying to make their courts paperless; 3. How to make the daily course lists of the courts more purposeful to all.</p> <p>2. <i>Session 8: ICT and Process reengineering; Session 9: Standardizing Procedures and Process Protocols: The way forward.</i></p> <p>3. Change in attitude; Insight of bottlenecks in the system & need as well as ideas to remove those; Best practices of the high courts.</p> <p>4. Interaction with of the participants & best of not best practice of the high court.</p> <p>5. All</p> <p>6. 1. Information; 2. Authoritative; 3. Thought providing.</p> <p>7. Innovative; Interactive; Informative.</p> <p>8. 1. What is the position of my High Court in comparison to others; 2. To arrangement the running e-projects; 3. To share experience and achievement of others.</p> <p>9. Work; Implementation.</p> <p>10. 1. Best practices of other High Courts; 2. Break out group discussion; 3. Get more knowledge regarding standardizing procedure.</p> <p>11. We have to transforms and change; Change is inevitable; Discussion, interaction with participants and guidance of coordinators and resource persons help one a lot of learn lot of things.</p> <p>12. 1. Sharing of best practices; Enhancement in knowledge & vision.</p> <p>13. 1. Interaction; 2. Best practices sharing; 3. Resource Persons.</p> <p>14. 1. Unlearning bad practices; 2. Change in attitude; 3. Motivation to carry forward.</p> <p>15. To continuing programme; Keep consistency in the programme; 3. Take found the programme till we achieve the goal.</p>
---	---

	<p>16. Sharing of best practices to take hoper.</p> <p>17. Usefulness of ICT.</p> <p>18. 1. Good practices of the High Court; 2. Inputs by the practices; 3. Resource materials.</p> <p>19. To make encrypting more accessible to litigants.</p> <p>20. Shared knowledge experience.</p> <p>21. Best practices & High courts use of ICT by various High courts Knowledge & rules of other High Courts.</p> <p>22. Good practices of other high courts. Long problems way discussed by other high courts; Healthy exchange of ideas.</p> <p>23. Interaction; Experience & knowledge of RPs; Reading material.</p> <p>24. The development taking place in the ICT fund all over the country.</p> <p>25. Highly essential.</p> <p>26. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>27. Interaction; Exposure; Evaluation & self-appraisal.</p> <p>28. got to know about the best practices prevalent in other High Courts; Got to know what needs improvement & How we can initiate the improvement.</p> <p>29. Participant did not comment.</p>
b. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why	<p>1. Session 2: Break-out group discussion – because this part of the programme has given as scope to make a comparative study of the rules of all the High Courts.</p> <p>2. ICT enablement.</p> <p>3. Interaction with participant & panel members as it generated new ideas.</p> <p>4. Use of ICT in our functioning.</p> <p>5. All</p> <p>6. Re-engineering.</p> <p>7. All sessions: Informative, Interchange of ideas.</p> <p>8. All. Because all focus on one point i.e. process re-engineering.</p> <p>9. All programme were useful.</p> <p>10. All programme were useful.</p> <p>11. All parts.</p> <p>12. All</p> <p>13. Conclusion – take away.</p> <p>14. All</p> <p>15. Exchange of view among the participants learning the experience of panel master.</p> <p>16. Guidance of mentors.</p> <p>17. All</p>

	<p>18. All</p> <p>19. 1. Standardization of cause lists; 2. Process serving innovations.</p> <p>20. All sessions.</p> <p>21. Use of ICT standardization – uniform modern culture.</p> <p>22. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>23. All the sessions were equally useful.</p> <p>24. The entire programme.</p> <p>25. All</p> <p>26. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>27. Interactive.</p> <p>28. All</p> <p>29. Participant did not comment.</p>
c. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why	<p>1. Session 6: Listing and Mentioning of Matters- because there is no major difference among all the High Court's rules and procedures.</p> <p>2. None</p> <p>3. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>4. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>5. None</p> <p>6. Process & procedure.</p> <p>7. All parts were useful.</p> <p>8. None</p> <p>9. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>10. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>11. None</p> <p>12. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>13. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>14. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>15. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>16. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>17. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>18. All</p> <p>19. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>20. Nil</p> <p>21. Nil</p> <p>22. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>23. None</p> <p>24. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>25. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>26. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>27. None</p> <p>28. None</p> <p>29. Participant did not comment.</p>

<p>d. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. I am satisfied with all arrangements related to the programme. 2. Participant did not comment. 3. Please email reading material in advance. 4. Please provide the soft copies of all material. 5. By sending the study materials in advance. 6. Cumulative opinions the certain aspects are needed. 7. No suggestions at this stage. 8. Collection of ideas from others also besides participants. 9. Participant did not comment. 10. Participant did not comment. 11. No suggestions. 12. Participant did not comment. 13. Excellent 14. Please share the study material on live easily. 15. Keep consistency and continually in the programme. 16. Provide only soft copy of study materials and also away with the collecting hard copies. 17. Time scheduled may be adhered to. 18. Participant did not comment. 19. Participant did not comment. 20. Participant did not comment. 21. Reading material be provided in soft copies. 22. Make soft copies of programme, objective, study material be sent at least due week in advance. 23. Soft copies of reading materials could be provided. 24. Participant did not comment. 25. Please give soft copies instead of hard copies of material. 26. Participant did not comment. 27. Already good. 28. Participant did not comment. 29. Participant did not comment.
---	--